167 92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92

~~192~~ .

(L. R.)

File No. 88 [illegible]

Serial Nos. 1—7

Later references.

L. 15/9/1893

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 7

Major ~~Part~~ B for June 1892

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Venkatasubbaiah re the Patel office of Pillur village Kaban Taluk.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Kolar

No. 20892 Dated 9.5.93

P. S. 167-92

Cl 6

Request to appoint the Adhikara to the Patel office of the village under the karnadar, Pillanna is in the opinion of the delay caused from Secretariat to take the above & he agrees to reside in the village & hence case be may be expedited.

WD 223—GPD—20,000—11-6-20

Patell Case

(1). Stamp Correct.

(2). Dy Comrs. Short produced.

(3) ↑ Appeal in time

(4). Rule I cl(1) of Ri Addl. Rules

Submitted to Hi Council.

V
27/4/92

Call for Rejs: with a precis
BO.
V
3/5/92

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 .

No. 233, 95

Name of Petitioner. Chikka Venkatappa Patel & ors

Village. Nittur

Taluk. Hassan

District. Do

Date of Arzi. 16/12/91, 17/12/91

Date of Receipt. 20/12/91, 21/12/91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One

ABSTRACT.

To the Appeals against the Comrs order dt. 5.12.91 and prays that, as he (Petr) has been serving as a Karnam Patel for 12 years he be confirmed as Patel of the whole village of Nittur in preference to the claim of his cousin Chikkanna Shanbogue & Comrs. for cause in the satisfaction to call for explanation and that call for the, the matter will be

R.

No 31 Encl issued 7-5-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No 43, 8, 9

dated 16/3/7/4/92 received at the Dewan's Office from Chikkia Gau[da] Subbaraya Patel of Pattur Hassan Taluk, praying that he may be confirmed as Patel of the whole village of Pattur

&c

are ~~is~~ forwarded, together with ~~its~~ one enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Hassan Dist for submission & proceedings with a ~~favourable~~ report upon the petition. The case of the village has been settled

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
11 May, 1892.

To



The Council of the Palace Board
of the Regency Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

By Order
Assistant
Under Secretary

1892

1A
3-7-92
4-92

4/Jul/92
Two Rupees
Stamp
Affixed
9/4/92

Hassan Talook.

The Undersigned Chikka Lakkata Gowda by caste Patel of Nittur, Hassan District begs to appeal against the order No: 86 of 92 of the Deputy Commissioner Hassan Distt: Dated 11th January 1892 under the following Grounds. Rules 16th March 92

(I) The appellant is the heriditary — Claimant of the Patel-Ship of the said Nittur Village, and has been serving as a Varsand Patel for the last 20 years. Therefore that the rejection of the claims of the said Patail in favour of one Puttaniah Sandhog of Bovanahalli who now lays his claims for the office of Patail is Contrary to the Law of Limitation in as much as the appellant Patail has had 12 years adverse enjoyment of the office — —

(II) There is no reason to reject the claims

Claims of the Appellant - Moreover it is Contrary to Law that no notice was served on the appellant, that his statements were not taken and that no cause has been yet assigned as to why he was deprived of his half share of the said Patai ship - -

(iii) The said Puttanniah who has been ordered to serve as a Patail of half the village has never acted as such. He has his remedy by a Civil suit to establish his Claim to it if he ever had the least shadow of tittle to it - -

(iv) The Lower Court has failed not only to Consider the Conduct of the parties but also decided against equity in the case of the appellant.

(v) There was no source of income to the said Patail-ship in the begining. Recently after the introduction of the pecuniary remuneration the appellant was receiving one half of the remuneration. During these days of hardships the appellant has been serving with the greatest possible care. During these days the said Puttanniah and his brother leaving the town, were earning by other means and have been also servi as Sambhapo in other places. With that being actuated by the Cousin h

the said Puttamiah has laid Grounless Claims to encroach upon 1/2 the remuneration It is clear on the face of the records that the orders that only 1/2 the emoluments should be given to the appellant is illegal.

The said Puttamiah is the reputed (11) Sambhog of Bommakally: During the absence of the appellant on leave the said Puttamiah has deceitfully preferred the Complaint. This appeal has been filed because the Deputy Commissioner has also declared that the appellant has no tittle when the appellant prefered his Complaint.

The appellant most humbly begs that the members of the Board will kindly peruse the Records from the very begining and Consider the Claims of the appellant, who has been performing the duties of the said Patail from a long time, & reverse the order of the Lower Court by ordering that the appellant shall have the Patail of the whole village, and hath the Patail remuneration which has been unjustly withheld upto to date may be paid — for which act of Kindness &c

(Signed)
C. Venkata Subbiah
Patail

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
DATE 4-4-92

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಕಾಮ/ಟೆಂ/ಕಾಮ-೧೦೨/೨೦೨೨-೨೩/೧೨೨೭ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೭.೦೭.೨೦೨೨

ಕಾರ್ಯಪಾಲಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು,
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಗ್ರಾಮೀಣ ಮೂಲಸೌಕರ್ಯ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ,
ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ವಿಭಾಗ-೧೦೨, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಅವಧಿ ವಿಸ್ತರಣೆ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ೧. ಕಾರ್ಯಾದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೆಆರ್‌ಐಡಿಎಲ್/ಕಾಮ/ಟೆಂ/೨೦೨೧-೨೨/೦೮೪೫ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೫.೦೩.೨೦೨೨

೨. ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರ ಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೫.೦೭.೨೦೨೨

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಾದ ಮೆ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಕನ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ರಕ್ಷನ್ಸ್ ಇವರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಕ್ಕೆ ಅಡ್ಡಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ ಭೂಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳು ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಬಗೆಹರಿದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಹಿನ್ನೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಅವಧಿಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೩೧.೦೮.೨೦೨೨ ರವರೆಗೆ ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಲು ಅನುಮೋದನೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಸ್ತರಣೆಯ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಪಾವತಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 1278

From Pet 60

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore

Dated 26th June 1892.

Despatched 2nd August 1892

Received

Enclosures No. petition & 1 file of Vernacular papers

General No. 2.81

No. 3027.

In reply to 31 Dated 11th May 1892.

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the petition from Chikka Venkatasubbaiya, Patel of Nittur, Hassan Taluk praying that he may be confirmed as Patel of the whole village.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No. 41-91-92.

Observe that the two extracts of the Birudabalis Register of 1867/68 filed in the vernacular records herewith returned for reference & comparison, differ from each other, & the statement and the statement between the Hissedars mentioned therein is not clearly known. The original register of 1867-68, the Petitioner states is the most Register, & a Complete & Correct Genealogical tree should be submitted at a very early date.

[illegible]

Peshkar.

5/8/92

No 281 Encl: V.P. Petn Decy Petitions

4 (3)

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.

Dated 26th July 1892.

From,

The Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your official
-al Memo No 31 of the 11th May
1892 forwarding a petition from
Chikka Venkatarambayya, Patel
of Nittoor, Hassan Taluk, praying
that he may be confirmed as
Patel of the whole of that village, I
have the honor to report that it
would appear from the Verna-
-cular papers herewith submitted
that there were four Hissédars
for

Pound-Keeper Ramiegowda.

3- The enclosure of your Memo under reply is herewith submitted. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

Gpramadoss
Hy Dy Commissioner
Kaspar Dorairaj

the village for Inalwad and Consequently the appellant Chikka-Venkatasubbaiya became sole Patel of the village.

2— In the year 1890 the appellant Chikka Venkatasubbaiya having misconducted himself in a sheep theft case and also having committed irregularities in the Cattle Pound work, he was allowed only to do the Revenue work and one Ramegowda was nominated by Mr. Madrah, the then Deputy Commissioner to do cattle pound duties on the responsibility of Ganawadar Puttamaiya (vide their office order No. 71 Dated 21st April 1890). Since then Appellant Chikka Venkatasubbaiya received half of the Patel's emolument and the other half is paid to

Ramegowda

for this village viz:—(1) Venkata-
-subbaiya (2) Chikka Venkatasubbaiya
the appellant, (3) Suraiya and
(4) Venkatanarasaraiya. Of these
the first three individuals were
jointly doing the work till 1868,
when Suraiya no: (3) resigned the
appointment on account of old
age and Venkatasubbaiya no: (1)
died. In the year 1870 Col: Hill,
the then Dy Commissioner appointed
Pubbamaiya minor son of Venkata
-subbaiya (no:1) as Barainardar
Patel and Venkatarasaraiya a
Cousin as Gumasta. This Gumasta
and Appellant Chikka Venkata-
-subbaiya (no 2) were jointly doing
the duties till 1879-80 when
Gumasta Venkatarasaraiya left
the

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan Dt.

Dated 17th
Despatched 19th } Sept 92.
Received

Enclosures No. One file of 12 Pages.

General No. 4481
C&F No. 167-92

Calling for certain papers in connection with the petition of Subba Venkatasubbayya, late of Nittur, Hassan Dt, praying to be confirmed as Patel of the whole village.

References to be marked.

From the same Nos 5-5-93-4-11-94

In Re Ry. Commr's Decision &c.

No. 2813 Oct. 1st 1903

Re routing on the petition of Edith Lee Catacouzzene Patteson, Executrix, praying that confirmed as Patentee of the above village.

In reference to the above the Honl. Secretary states that the two extracts from the Patents Register of 26.4.03 filed in the case, record the first Decision, differ from each other, and that the relationship between the Executors mentioned therein is not clearly stated. The original Register of 7.4.03, re Patteson, Otzi, Settlement Register is a complete and correct genealogical Tree & should be submitted at a very early date.

By order

Asst Clerk June 17/03

Under Secy

(General Serial No. 5437)

1892. 5437.

From

The Commissioner,
Kaveri District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 24th
Despatched.
Received

} 1st December 1892.

Enclosures No. one file of papers and decision sent in parcel. —

General No. 539
No.

Kiro Docket No 4481/167 Dated 17th September 1892 on the petition of Chikka Venkatasubbaiya Patel of Nittur, Kasaba Taluk praying to be confirmed as Patel of the whole village. —

As called for in the above, has the honor to submit herewith the original Parabaluti Register of 1867-68 and a Complete and correct

Petn Exp
Dist
Exp
Ex

XX Opinion of R. Sy
Comrs compliance
is called for
office & respect
the records in which
will be found
Nittur case to be sent up

Assistant Girdawar Venkatasubbaiya seeks to have the sole charge of the Patail of Nittur & the entire Remuneration allowed for it. He has been charged, by the said that many of his petitioners in a Police case & is against whom inquiries he was removed & the Revenue Patels and one Ramachandra Rao appointed to do the Patail duties on the responsibility of a certain of the villagers. The complainants are similarly placed as the applicant's is in Taluk and the Inspector in charge (Paper No 3) has given the facts of the case.

Submitted for orders.

Order

Under the rules in force, there should be only one Patail pr village. The Comr is requested to ~~nominate the more competent of the existing two Patails as the sole Patail of the village~~ Ramaiyangar has no right to the office — & from Petitioner as the sole Patail of the village, unless the other Patail is, in the

XX 28/11/92

Correct genealogical tree, received from the Huzdar of the Hassan Taluk.

2 — It would appear from the Huzdar's report that there is no separate Patika Patayi Register containing orders of appointments of Potels as such orders are generally passed on the records concerned whenever vacancies are reported. The Patika Patayi Register showing the names of villages and the amount of Patayi fixed to each village, recently received from the Survey Department together with Patayi Payment Registers for the year 1882-83 and 1886-87 is herewith submitted for information to be returned when no longer required.

3. The Vernacular papers enclosed in Upar Huzdar's report to No 202 Dated 18th Instant are herein enclosed. —

Assistant

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan

No. 4318-

20-6-93.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

20- 6- 1893

Endorsement granted to Chikka Tavarata Siddiah, Revenue Patel of Attur, Nagar Taluk,

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3454 dated 6th March and 7th April 93, praying that he may be given the sole charge of the Patelship of the village.

Petitioner is ~~informed that~~ referred to the Deputy Commr. to whom orders have been issued. —

20/6/93

"By Order"

Draft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

Draft for approval

(General Serial No. 30.)

2189.

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated

Despatched L.R. 1-9-91-6

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Passing orders on the petition of Chikka Venkata-subbaiya of Kittur, Hassan Tk, regarding the Patel of the village.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

13

(6)

Read:—

(1) Petitions Nos ~~B~~ 4318 and 93 dated 16th March & 7th April 92, from Chikka Venkatasubbaiya, Revenue Patel of Kittur, Hassan Taluk praying that he may be ~~confirmed as~~ given the sole charge of the Patel of the ~~Taluk~~ village

(2) ~~Letter from~~ Correspondence ending with the Hassan Deputy Comm^r's docket No 589, dated 24th November 1892.

Order thereon. 20892 / Pet Ft. 167-92 — 9.6.93 / 20.

Under the rules in force, there should be only one Patel for a village. The Deputy Comm^r will, therefore, be requested to appoint Petitioner as the sole Patel of the village, unless the other Baravardar, Puttanna is, in the opinion of the Deputy Commissioner, more competent to hold the office and he agrees to reside in the village, in which case he may be appointed.

9/6/93

14/6

Draft (Comm^r's order)

Chief Secretary.

S.C. To the Deputy Comm^r, Hassan District with Vernacular Rubeo